

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/582,838 | KONDO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lakshmi S. Channavajjala | 1615 | |

All Participants:

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(2) William Bond.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: 1.30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 9


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed to the attorney that the term "soft Carbowax" is a tradename that is not capitalized or described in the specification. Attorney authorized the examiner to delete the above term from claim 9 by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)